## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

UNITED ASSOCIATION OF THE	)	
PLUMBING AND PIPE FITTING	)	
INDUSTRY OF U.S AND CANADA,	)	
LOCAL NO. 360, et al,	)	
Plaintiffs,	)	
v.	)	Case No. 17-cv-511-RJD
	)	
EHRET, INC.	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	
	)	

## MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

## **DALY, Magistrate Judge:**

On June 28, 2018, the Court granted summary judgment in favor of Plaintiffs and against Defendants in the amount of \$24,750.35 (Doc. 47). The Court also directed Plaintiffs to file supporting documentation concerning attorneys' fees and costs. Now before the Court is Plaintiff's Notice Regarding Attorneys' Fees and Costs (Doc. 49). Defendants filed a Memorandum in Opposition to Plaintiff's Request for an Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs (Doc. 50).

29 U.S.C. §1132(g)(2) (ERISA) provides, "In any action under this title...in which a judgment in favor of the plan is awarded, the court shall award...reasonable attorney's fees and costs of the action, to be paid by the defendant..." Relatedly, the Seventh Circuit has held that, "ERISA provides for a mandatory award of reasonable attorney's fees when a plan fiduciary prevails in an action to collect delinquent contributions." *Laborers' Pension Fund v. Blackmore Sewer Const., Inc.*, 298 F.3d 600, 608 (7thd Cir. 2002).

Here, Plaintiffs are prevailing parties as the Court granted summary judgment in their

favor. Based on the Affidavit of Greg Campbell attached to Plaintiffs' Notice (Doc. 49-1),

Plaintiffs have incurred attorneys' fees (\$4,330.00) and court costs (\$499.18) totaling \$4,829.18.

Defendants object to Plaintiffs' filing because the request for attorney's fees failed to

include an itemized billing statement showing detailed entries. Defendants also cite Local Rule

54.1 and argue Plaintiffs failed to comply with the cited rule.<sup>1</sup>

The party seeking attorney's fees has the burden of documenting its fees to the satisfaction

of the Court. Hensley v. Eckerhardt, 461 U.S. 424, 433, (1983); Tomazzoli v. Sheedy, 804 F.2d

93, 96 (7th Cir.1986). Plaintiffs' submitted affidavit provides this documentation and, while

sparse, is generally sufficient to demonstrate the fees requested. The Court finds that these fees

and costs were reasonably incurred in this case and that Plaintiffs' Counsel's rates and charges are

reasonable. Accordingly, the Court **GRANTS** Plaintiff's attorney's fees and costs in the amount

of \$4,829.18. The Clerk of Court is **DIRECTED** to enter judgment in favor of Plaintiffs

accordingly and to close the case.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: September 13, 2018

of Reona J. Daly

Hon. Reona J. Daly

**United States Magistrate Judge** 

<sup>1</sup> Local Rule 54.1 quoted by Defendants is not the Southern District of Illinois Local Rule 54.1. Since it is unclear to the Court which local rule Defendants are referencing, the Court will not

address this portion of Defendants' argument.

Page 2 of 2